

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/819,082

Applicant(s)

MCDANIEL, DAVID

Examiner

Ahmed M Farah

Art Unit

3739

All Participants:

(1) Ahmed M Farah.

(2) Weyn Jaeschke (Reg. No. 38503).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 June 2004

Time: 11:20 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner's intent was to verify/find out if the applicant has responded to the Office Action mailed on December 3, 2003. However, although the applicant has filed a request for Change of Address back in August 29, 2003, the applicant's representative and the examiner together verified that the above-mentioned OA was mailed to wrong address of a previous firm. Hence, since the time of response has lapsed, the applicant's representative has agreed to file a petition to revive the application upon receiving a notice of Abandonment.